UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,574	05/31/2007	Stig Bengmark	05822.0340USWO	8316
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 04/29/200 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903	}		ARIANI, KADE	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/588,574	BENGMARK, STIG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KADE ARIANI	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/2006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

The amendment filed on 03/13/2009 has been received and entered.

New claims 24-26 have been added.

Claims 12-26 are pending in this application and were examined on their merits.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/13/2009 has been entered.

**IDS** 

A copy of the information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/10/2006, including the PCT publication is being considered by the examiner.

Answer to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 03/13/2009 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-16, and 20-26are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaur et al. (European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2002, Vol. 15, p.1-9) in view of Kruszewskya et al. (in IDS, Microecology and Therapy, 2002, Vol. 29, p.37-49) and further in view of Naito et al. (Free Radical Biology & Medicine, 2002, Vol. 33, No. 3, p.323-336).

Claims 12-16, and 20-26 are drawn to a method for treating a stress-induced inflammatory disorder in a mammal, comprising administering to a mammal in need of treatment an effective amount of a formulation comprising at least 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml of each of *Pediococcus pentoseceus 16:1(LMG P-20608)*, *Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 23-77:1* (*LMG P-20607*), *lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19* (*LMG P-17086*), and *Lactobacillus plantarum 2362* (*LMG P-20606*), and at least one fiber, the mammal is a human, wherein the stress-induced disorder is determined as an increase in neutrophils, cytokines, myeloperoxidases, the stress induced disorder is stomach inflammation, bowel inflammation, the fiber is inulin, the formulation further comprises at

least one antioxidant, vitamin, amino acid, peptide, glutamine, the formulation further comprises a therapeutic agent, the formulation is solid or liquid, the formulation is in the form of a tablet, the formulation comprises at least 10<sup>10</sup> CFU of each bacteria, and at least 10<sup>11</sup> CFU/ml of each bacteria.

Kaur et al. teach a method for treating intestinal inflammation in a mammal in need of treatment comprising oral introduction of probiotics (p.5 1st column 1st paragraph lines 2-5). Kaur et al. teach probiotic formulation comprising a combination of probiotic strains for treating ulcerative colitis (a stress-induced inflammation) in a mammal, at least 10<sup>11</sup> cells/g of strains (5X10<sup>11</sup> cells of strains), in the form of tablets and capsules (p.4 Table 1, VSL# 3, and p.5 Table 1, Continued 1st column Primal Defense capsules). Kaur et al. further teach synbiotics (a mixture of probiotics and prebiotics, non-digestible food ingredients) overcome the limitations of probiotics and improve the survival and implantation of live microbial dietary supplement (p.7 2<sup>nd</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Kaur et al. teach combined administration of inulin with a probiotic (p. 6 7 2<sup>nd</sup> column 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). Kaur et al. teach including vitamins, antioxidants, minerals, plants (wheat, barley, soybean), and formulation comprises wheat and barley, and therapeutic agents (e.g. amoxycillin), in the formulation (p.5 Table 1. continued, 2<sup>nd</sup> column 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph line 17, 3<sup>rd</sup> column 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph lines 10-11, and 3<sup>rd</sup> column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). Kaur et al. further teach a well-established fact is that by probiotic therapy resistant to pathogen and immune stimulation (non-specific immune response) can be achieved (p.7 1st column last paragraph, and p.5 2nd column 2nd paragraph).

Kaur et al. do not teach wherein the stress-induced disorder is determined as an increase in neutrophils, cytokines, myeloperoxidases, a formulation comprising an effective amount of *Pediococcus pentosaceus 16:1(LMG P-20608), Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 23-77:1 (LMG P-20607), lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19 (LMG P-17086), and Lactobacillus plantarum 2362 (LMG P-20606), and glutamine. However, Naito et al. teach <i>H. pylori* induce gastric inflammation, a stress-induced inflammation, the bacteria or their products trigger inflammatory process via cytokines (Abstract). Naito et al. teach activation of neutrophils and myeloperoxidase (p.325 1<sup>st</sup> column 2nd paragraph). Naito et al. further teach accumulation of lipid peroxidation products in *H. pylori*-infected gastric mucosa provides evidence of increased oxidative stress (p.326 1<sup>st</sup> column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph lines 8-12).

Moreover, Kruszewskya et al. teach *Pediococcus pentosaceus 16:1*, *Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 77:1*, *lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19*, *and Lactobacillus plantarum 2592* have shown properties which makes them attractive candidates for use as probiotics (Abstract, page 41 Table 2.). Kruszewskya et al. teach the strains produce bacteriocins with bactericidal effect against bacterial species like *H. pylori* (p.44 1<sup>st</sup> column 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph lines 1-4). Kruszewskya et al. teach the strains grown/cultured in a nutrient medium with 0.5% w/v inulin, amylopectin, beta-glucan, and with L-glutamine (p.40, 2<sup>nd</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph line 8). Kruszewskya et al. also teach the strains are isolated from cultured rye (Abstract line 3). Kruszewskya et al. teach the production of antimicrobial substances (with activity against other bacteria) by these strains, induction of anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10) by *L. paracasei subsp* 

paracasei F-19, Lactobacillus plantarum 2592, Pediococcus pentosaceus 16:1 produced antioxidants which provide beneficial effects in scavenging free radicals (Abstract and p.45 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> columns). Kruszewskya et al. teach the strains ferment fibers and exert beneficial effect of the colonic flora and bowel function (p.44 2<sup>nd</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). The LAB strains taught by Kruszewskya et al. are the same or in case of Lactobacillus plantarum 2592, an obvious variant of the claimed bacterial strains. Kruszewskya et al. teach the induction of IL-8 (pro-inflammatory) and IL-10 (antiinflammatory) cytokines, and a mild immunostimulatory effect by lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19 (p.45 1st column 2nd paragraph lines 1-4). It must be noted that IL-8 is a mediator of the immune reaction in the innate immune system response which provide immediate defense against infection and is not long lasting. Kruszewskya et al. also teach the tetsted strains ferment fibers, they should exert a benebeficial effect on the colonic flora, and possibly act as a prebiotic since they fermnet inulin, which has been shown to stimulate the growth of Bifidobacteria (p.44 2<sup>nd</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Kruszewskya et al. also teach the selected LAB strains have shown to survive and multiply during the acid and bile stress conditions of human stomach and upper intestine and they have a prominent ability to colonise the human large intestine (p.43 2<sup>nd</sup> column last paragraph and p.44 1<sup>st</sup> column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). It must be noted that a probiotic must be capbale of colonizing the intestinal tract to influence human health.

Therefore, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to provide a formulation by combining the probiotic strains,

Application/Control Number: 10/588,574

Art Unit: 1651

Pediococcus pentosaceus 16:1, Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 77:1, lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19, and Lactobacillus plantarum 2592 as taught by Kruszewskya et al. in an effective amount and at least one fiber according to the teachings of Kaur et al., because Kaur et al. teach combination of probiotic strains in an effective amount with fiber for treating a stress-induced inflammation. A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of success in administering a formulation of the combination of probiotic strains in effective amount with fiber for treating H. pylori induce gastric inflammation as taught by Naito et al. in order to provide a method of treating a stress-induced inflammatory disorder because Kruszewskya et al. teach the probiotic strains, Pediococcus pentosaceus 16:1, Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 77:1, lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19, and Lactobacillus plantarum 2592, produce bacteriocins with bactericidal effect against bacterial species like H. pylori. As indicated in MPEP 2144.06, "It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose.... [T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069, 1072 (CCPA 1980) (citations omitted) (Claims to a process of preparing a spray-dried detergent by mixing together two conventional spray-dried detergents were held to be prima facie obvious.). See also In re Crockett, 279 F.2d 274, 126 USPQ 186 (CCPA 1960) (Claims directed to a method and material for treating cast iron using a mixture comprising calcium carbide and

Page 7

magnesium oxide were held unpatentable over prior art disclosures that the aforementioned components individually promote the formation of a nodular structure in cast iron.); and Ex parte Quadranti, 25 USPQ2d 1071 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992) (mixture of two known herbicides held prima facie obvious).

Claims 12 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaur et al. (European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2002, Vol. 15, p.1-9) in view of Kruszewskya et al. (in IDS, Microecology and Therapy, 2002, Vol. 29, p.37-49) and further in view of Gibson et al. (J Nutr., 1999, Vol. 129, p.1438S-1441S) and Charalampopoulos et al. (International Journal of Food Microbiology, 2002, Vol. 79, p.131-141).

Claims 12, and 17-19 are drawn to a method for treating a stress-induced inflammatory disorder in a mammal, comprising administering to a mammal in need of treatment an effective amount of a formulation comprising at least 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml of each of *Pediococcus pentoseceus 16:1(LMG P-20608)*, *Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 23-77:1 (LMG P-20607)*, *lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19 (LMG P-17086)*, and *Lactobacillus plantarum 2362 (LMG P-20606)*, and at least one fiber, wherein the fibers are inulin, beta-glucan, pectin, and resistant starch, the fibers in the formulation in an amount of 2.5 g, and the fiber is lignin substances from a plant.

Kaur et al. teach a method for treating intestinal inflammation in a mammal in need of treatment comprising oral introduction of probiotics (p.5 1<sup>st</sup> column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph lines 2-5). Kaur et al. teach probiotic formulation comprising a combination of

probiotic strains for treating ulcerative colitis (a stress-induced inflammation) in a mammal, at least 10<sup>11</sup> cells/g of strains (5X10<sup>11</sup> cells of strains), in the form of tablets and capsules (p.4 Table 1. VSL # 3 and p.5 Table 1. Continued 1<sup>st</sup> column Primal Defense capsules). Kaur et al. further teach synbiotics (a mixture of probiotics and prebiotics, non-digestible food ingredients) overcome the limitations of probiotics and improve the survival and implantation of live microbial dietary supplement (p.7 2<sup>nd</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Kaur et al. teach combined administration of inulin with a probiotic (p. 6 7 2<sup>nd</sup> column 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph).

Kaur et al. do not teach *Pediococcus pentosaceus* 16:1(LMG P-20608),

Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 23-77:1 (LMG P-20607), lactobacillus paracasei subsp

paracasei F-19 (LMG P-17086), and Lactobacillus plantarum 2362 (LMG P-20606), 2.5

gram of each fiber, fibers comprises glucan, pectin, resistant starch, and the fiber is

selected from lignin substances from a plant. However, Kruszewskya et al. teach

Pediococcus pentosaceus 16:1, Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 77:1, lactobacillus

paracasei subsp paracasei F-19, and Lactobacillus plantarum 2592 have shown

properties which makes them attractive candidates for use as probiotics (Abstract, page
41 Table 2.). Kruszewskya et al. teach the strains produce bacteriocins with bactericidal

effect against bacterial species like *H. pylori* (p.44 1st column 3rd paragraph lines 1-4) (It

must be noted that *H. pylori* induced infection is a stress-induced disorder).

Kruszewskya et al. teach the strains grown/cultured in a nutrient medium with 0.5% w/v

inulin, amylopectin, beta-glucan (p.40, 2nd column 2nd paragraph line 8). Kruszewskya et

al. also teach the strains are isolated from colonic mucosa of healthy individuals and from cultured rye (Abstract line 1-3).

Gibson et al. teach a marked increase in bifidobacteria by adding15g/d inulin in the diet of human volunteers (p.1440S 1<sup>st</sup> column Table 2. legend, and 1<sup>st</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph lines 15-24).

Charalampopoulos et al. teach encapsulation of probiotic strains using cereal fractions to improve the viability of the probiotic strains (p.138 2<sup>nd</sup> column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph lines 1-4). Charalampopoulos et al. teach cereals are generally suitable substrates for the growth-of human derived probiotic strains (p. 138 1<sup>st</sup> column end paragraph). Charalampopoulos et al. also teach the prebiotic effect of cereals due to the presence of dietary fibers, and teach cereals also contain phytic acid (phytate) and tannins (p.136 2<sup>nd</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph line1, and 1<sup>st</sup> column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph lines 2-8). Charalampopoulos et al. teach water soluble fiber and water-insolube fiber contains lignin, cellulose and hemicellulose (p.136 2nd column 1st paragraph lines 2-3). Charalampopoulos et al. teach the most important dietary fiber is beta-glucan which has positive therapeutic effects, and support the growth of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria (p.137 1<sup>st</sup> column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph lines 4-6). Charalampopoulos et al. further teach the fiber resistant starch (found in cereal grains) is a prebiotic; it provides fermentable carbohydrates for colonic bacteria, and decreases the risk of bowel diseases (p.138 1st column 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph lines 1-, and 12-14).

Therefore, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to provide a formulation by combining the probiotic strains,

Pediococcus pentosaceus 16:1, Leuconostoc mesenteriodes 77:1, lactobacillus paracasei subsp paracasei F-19, and Lactobacillus plantarum 2592 as taught by Kruszewskya et al. in an effective amount and at least one fiber according to the teachings of Kaur et al., because Kaur et al. teach combination of probiotic strains in an effective amount with fiber for treating a stress-induced inflammation. Moreover, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to include fibers amylopectin and beta-glucan to the combination of probiotic strains of Kruszewskya et al. to provide a formulation, because Kruszewskya et al. teach strains grow on amylopectin and beta-glucan. Accordingly, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to add fiber resistant starch and a fiber from a plant according to the teachings of Charalampopoulos et al. to the combination of probiotic strains of Kruszewskya et al. to provide a formulation, because Charalampopoulos et al. teach cereal grains and resistant starch as prebiotics. Moreover, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been capable of optimizing the amount (grams) of fibers to be added to the probiotic strains as taught by Kruszewskya et al., according to the teachings of Kruszewskya et al. and Gibson et al., because Kruszewskya et al. teach adding 0.5% w/v inulin, amylopectin, beta-glucan to the growth medium of the probiotic strains, and Gibson et al. teach adding 15g/d inulin in the diet of human volunteers.

Applicant may submit evidence or arguments that:

Application/Control Number: 10/588,574 Page 12

Art Unit: 1651

A) one of ordinary skill in the art could not have combined the claimed elements by known methods (e.g. due to technological difficulties);

- B) the elements in combination do not merely perform the function that each element performs separately; or
  - C) the results of the claimed combination were unexpected.

## Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kade Ariani whose telephone number is (571) 272-6083. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 5:30 pm EST Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/588,574 Page 13

Art Unit: 1651

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kade Ariani Examiner Art Unit 1651 /Leon B Lankford/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651